DAVID & GOLIATH

The explosive inside story of media bias in the Israeli-Palestinian conflict

SHRAGA SIMMONS

Sample chapter For private use only

Copyright © 2012 Emesphere Productions www.emesphere.com

CONTENTS

	Introduction
1.	The Photo That Started It All
2.	David & Goliath33
3.	One Man's Terrorist73
4.	"Massacre" in Jenin
5.	The CNN Shift
6.	Intimidation? What Intimidation?!
7.	Fauxtography: Lebanon and Beyond
8.	Driving the Wedge
9.	Gaza War & "Excessive Force"
10.	The Right to Security
11.	The Right to Exist
	Epilogue: The Peace Process
	Photos
	Acknowledgments457
	Disclaimers
	Index

-8-

DRIVING THE WEDGE

Two Jewish men are sitting on a park bench. One is reading a newspaper with a headline proclaiming: "Jews Exert World Dominance." The other looks at him disapprovingly and says, "Why are you reading that trash?"

The first man replies: "Most newspapers are filled with depressing reports of problems in Israel, anti-Semitism, and all kinds of troubles facing the Jewish people. This paper, on the other hand, gives me the good feeling that Jews have all the money and power and control the world!"

"Jews control the world" is an ancient canard; its 21st century version is the idea that "agent Israel" and its politically powerful allies influence the U.S. government to engage in policies that contradict America's best interests. Taki Theodoracopulos, a columnist for Britain's *Spectator* for over 30 years, charged that "the way to Uncle Sam's heart runs through Tel Aviv." The *Los Angeles Times* quoted one U.S. politician referring to Capitol Hill as "the little Knesset," while another described it as "Israel's occupied territory." Meanwhile, *Time* magazine published this alarmist headline: "The Pro-Israel Lobby's Plan to Storm Congress."

The result of this contention – that Israel controls the superpower America – effectively drives a wedge between the U.S. and Israel. And that's no small matter. Healthy American-Israeli relations are crucial to Israel's survival, because in the face of anti-Israel attacks – whether in the form of U.N. condemnations or media outrage – the United States serves as a buffer to blunt that criticism. Thus by undermining the relationship between Israel and the U.S. – its most important and powerful ally – Israel loses a key advocate in support of its security actions, and ultimately weakens its ability to thrive and survive.

The myth that Israel exerts a highly-disproportionate influence has been floating around the diplomatic backwaters for years, but no one could have predicted the wave of publicity that surrounded the 2007 publication of *The Israel Lobby and U.S. Foreign Policy*. This tome by Professors Stephen Walt and John Mearsheimer not only casts Israel as a subversive foreign policy influence, but argues that America's support for Israel is the root cause of global instability and Islamic animosity. Their theory: "Israel gets a free hand with the Palestinians, and the United States does most of the fighting, dying, rebuilding and paying."

With its conspiratorial tone and flavor, *The Israel Lobby* comes across as an updated *Protocols of the Elders of Zion*, the minutes of fictitious "secret meetings" in which Jewish leaders conspire to rule the world. Not surprisingly, *The Israel Lobby* has been applauded by radical Islamic groups and racists like David Duke, who praised the authors for "validating every major point I have been making."

But the biggest boost came from the mainstream media, which elevated *The Israel Lobby* to cover-story status – discussed ad nauseam in newspapers, magazines and talk shows. Which makes one wonder: If the all-powerful "Israel Lobby" controls the media, how does that explain the media's universal coverage of *The Israel Lobby*?⁷

One way *The Israel Lobby* assailed Israel's legitimacy was the contention that the American invasion of Iraq was really "Israel's war." As described in the *New York Times*: "A cadre of pro-Zionist zealots... has long schemed to make the Middle East safer for Israel by uprooting the hostile

regime of Saddam Hussein. They have finally succeeded, the theory goes, in pushing their agenda up to the desk of a gullible president."8

What, pray tell, might have been Israel's reason for favoring an attack on Iraq? Perhaps it was delayed revenge for the dozens of unprovoked Scud missiles that Saddam launched against Israel during the 1991 Gulf War. Or maybe the Iraq war was a diversion enabling Israel to "implement its long-cherished dream of expelling all Palestinians from the West Bank and Gaza" – a baseless theory that appeared in one of America's largest "mainstream" newspapers, the *Chicago Tribune*.9

With popular support for the war wavering, CNN and others reported Congressman Jim Moran's declaration that there would have been no invasion "If it were not for the strong support of the Jewish community for this war with Iraq." And in a *New York Times* op-ed, Tony Judt described how "prominent Israeli leaders and their American supporters pressed very hard for the invasion of Iraq." 11

All this sounds eerily similar to the 1930s, when Charles Lindbergh publicly blamed the Jews for pushing America into a "pointless war" with Germany.¹²

Walt, Mearsheimer and their media compatriots could not have been more wrong. In the months leading up to the Iraq war, Israeli leaders specifically discouraged the U.S. from attacking, fearing (quite correctly, it turns out) that American troops would get bogged down in Iraq and the U.S. would never move on to dealing with the far more critical threat of Iran. Indeed, the *Washington Post* reported in 2002 how "Israeli officials are redoubling efforts to warn the Bush administration that Iran poses a greater threat than the Iraqi regime of Saddam Hussein." In other words, it wasn't Israel that persuaded the American administration of the war's necessity, but rather the opposite: the U.S. enlisted Israel by arguing that American forces would be better positioned to deal with Iran once it had disposed of Saddam.

Regardless of the reality, mainstream journalists continue to claim that Israel has America in its pocket. Georgie Ann Geyer's syndicated column – printed in the *Chicago Tribune*, *San Diego Union-Tribune* and

other American dailies – quoted the Israeli prime minister as telling his cabinet: "Don't worry about American objections to our actions, I control America." When challenged to back up the quote, Geyer attributed its source to "anonymous individuals." That didn't quite meet journalistic standards, and Geyer was forced to admit that the "quote" originated in a press release from a pro-Hamas group, Islamic Association for Palestine – and was pure fabrication. 16

It seems that media paranoia sees a sinister pro-Israel lobby lurking in every corner. When Jewish boxer Dmitriy Salita arrived in England to fight for the world welterweight title, London's *Sunday Times* wrote that Salita is "ready for the opportunity of his life, buoyed by the good wishes of the New York fight crowd, the Jewish lobby and all those touched by his struggle and his quiet, serious demeanour."¹⁷

When the U.S. Congress voted to tighten sanctions against Iran, Anne Flaherty of Associated Press reported that "nervousness about Tehran's intentions in the region" is "a sentiment fueled by the pro-Israeli lobby whose influence reaches across party lines." Even as Congress was voicing legitimate concerns about the Iranian threat to America, AP editorialized it as the narrow worry of the "pro-Israeli lobby." ¹⁹

In 2011, Bibi Netanyahu's address to a joint session of Congress received nearly three dozen standing ovations. Yet the *New York Times* maligned the huge bipartisan support as due – not to American officials sharing Netanyahu's vision – but rather because of a powerful Jewish lobby controlling them: "With elections coming up next year, lawmakers appeared eager to demonstrate their support for Israel as part of an effort to secure backing from one of American politics' most powerful constituencies, American Jews."²⁰

This absurd upending of the truth reminds me of the time that Charlie Chaplain entered a Charlie Chaplain look-alike contest – and failed even to make the finals.²¹

Weapons and Aid

Here's a current events question: When American and Israeli leaders

got into a spat regarding construction in Jerusalem, who called it an "enjoyable new experience to be able to look on as the Israelis argued with their most important ally"?

- 1. Khaled Mashal Chairman of Hamas
- 2. Hasan Nasrallah Secretary General of Hezbollah
- 3. Jeremy Bowen Mideast Editor of BBC
- 4. Mahmoud Ahmadinejad President of Iran
- 5. Amr Moussa Secretary General of the Arab League

The answer may (or may not) surprise you. The one who derives such satisfaction from U.S.-Israeli tensions is BBC's Mideast Editor, Jeremy Bowen.²²

When it comes to driving a wedge between the U.S. and Israel, a perennial media favorite is the incident of the *USS Liberty*, an American surveillance ship that operated off the coast of Gaza during the 1967 Six Day War. In the heat of battle, Israel misidentified the *Liberty* as an Egyptian warship and attacked, leaving 34 crewmen dead. When the tragic error was discovered, Israel immediately informed the Americans, apologized and paid compensation to the victims' families.²³ No diplomatic riff ensued. Indeed, the United States – fearing that a Soviet plane had attacked – was actually relieved to discover that the incident involved its ally Israel.²⁴

Yet for over 40 years, Israel's detractors have exploited this episode to portray Israel as an enemy that intentionally kills Americans. Never mind the fact that 10 official U.S. inquiries concluded that the *Liberty* strike was an accident. Conspiratorial rantings that the attack was "deliberate" promise far better ratings, which the media regularly regurgitates²⁵ every few years with the publication of yet another anti-Israel tome – under titles such as *How the Bombing of the USS Liberty Nearly Caused World War III.*²⁶ Within one week of the release of *Body of Secrets*, an exposé on U.S. spy activity, author James Bamford was given a forum to slander Israel for the *Liberty* incident on National Public Radio,²⁷ Salon.com²⁸ and CNN²⁹ – propelling his screed to number four on the *New York Times'* Best Sellers list.³⁰

Could one imagine the sensationalistic smear of an "Apollo moon landing hoax" being glorified this way in the mainstream American media? Yet slowly but surely, anti-Israel canards are used to chip away at the core of American support for Israel – further isolating Israel in the international community.

Another method the media uses to undermine the U.S.-Israel relationship is to repeatedly emphasize the use of American weaponry in Israeli security measures, the implication being that Israel is abusing American aid. Muslim leader Al-Haaj Ghazi Khankan declared on ABC News that "U.S.-made F-16s and helicopters that shoot rockets are being used by the Israelis to kill more Palestinians." Eric Margolis of the *Toronto Sun* wrote of how "the F-16's, helicopter gunships, and self-propelled heavy artillery raining death on Gaza come from the US courtesy of [the] American taxpayer." A *New York Times* report on a search for terror suspects noted the seemingly unnecessary detail that "Israeli forces [were] brandishing American-made M-16 rifles," and described an air raid where "Israel used an American-made F-16 warplane." And in an obvious attempt to outrage Americans, Reuters snidely reported that the U.S. "gives Israel about \$2 billion a year in weaponry used to kill Palestinians."

Another penchant of the media is to overstate the scope of U.S. foreign aid to Israel, in an attempt to portray Israel as a burdensome drain on the American economy. One article in the *Christian Science Monitor* claimed that Uncle Sam has spent twice as much money backing Israel – "in its drawn-out, violent dispute with the Palestinians" – than the hundreds of billions of dollars America spent fighting in Vietnam.³⁵

The *Monitor* arrived at these stratospheric figures by including U.S. foreign aid to Egypt (cited as \$117 billion) and Jordan (\$22 billion) as "part of the total package of support for Israel." The *Monitor* also tagged Israel with the bill for rising oil prices (cost: \$450 billion), and a U.S. economic recession (cost: \$420 billion). On top of this, claimed the *Monitor*, support for Israel has somehow cost America the loss of 195,000 jobs. Even private charitable donations aren't spared the *Monitor's* poison pen; they too constitute a "net drain" on the American economy.

Just to cover its bases, the *Monitor* "balanced" these overblown claims with one lukewarm sentence: "Many Americans would probably say it is money well spent to support a beleagured [sic] democracy of some strategic interest."

"A beleaguered democracy of some strategic interest"? That line put me over the edge and I fired off an email communiqué to my subscribers. Consider the facts: Israel is America's most trusted and reliable ally in the Middle East, the sole democracy in a region dominated by authoritarian and military regimes. The New York-based organization Freedom House notes that while the trend toward democracy is growing in most regions of the world, these prospects remain bleakest in the Middle East – where Israel is the only country ranked as "free." The U.S. spends untold billions disseminating the ideals of democracy around the world; in Israel, these values come gratis – what has been called "a strategic bonanza for the United States at bargain prices."

These shared values gain expression at the United Nations, where America and Israel vote in concert 88 percent of the time; by contrast, other Mideast "allies" like Egypt and Saudi Arabia vote with the U.S. 7 percent and 8 percent of the time, respectively. In fact, U.S. State Department figures show that Israel votes with the United States not only more than any Arab or Islamic country, but also more than any other country in the world – outpacing major U.S. allies like Great Britain, France and Canada.³⁹

Yet despite Israel's loyalty and support, the media continues to push the idea of cutting aid to Israel, as expressed in a readers' poll on the homepage of Toronto's *Globe and Mail* which offered a simple "yes" or "no" vote: "Should all financial and political aid to Israel be cut off until a just peace with the Palestinians is in place?" And in the *New York Times*, the ever-critical Roger Cohen flatly observed: "It does not make sense for America to bankroll Israeli policies that undermine U.S. strategic objectives." 41

On C-Span, former CIA staffer Michael Scheuer advocated violence against Jews – suggesting that one way to "dissuade" terrorists from attacking the United States is to "persuade them to focus their anger" on Israel instead. On cue, C-Span then broadcast a call from a viewer who

rained invective on Israel for having "jewed us into Iraq." C-SPAN host Bill Scanlan made no effort to upbraid the caller, but rather calmly asked Scheuer for "any comments." This was Scheuer's entrée to let it all out:

One of the big things we have not been able to discuss for the past 30 years is the Israelis. Whether we want to be involved in fighting Israel's wars in the future is something that Americans should be able to talk about... They may want to see their kids killed in Iraq or Yemen or somewhere else to defend Israel. But the question is: We need to talk about it.

Ultimately Israel is a country that is of no particular worth to the United States. Strategically, they have no resources we need. Their manpower is minimal. Their association with us is a negative for the United States. Now that's a fact...⁴²

So despite the fact that Israel is America's most stable ally in an otherwise unstable region, Israel as a "strategic negative" remains a popular media notion. The *New York Times* profiled an article by Anthony H. Cordesman entitled, "Israel as a Strategic Liability?", ⁴³ and a headline on MSNBC.com ominously proposed: "Israel Turning Into a 'Burden' on U.S.?"⁴⁴

America in the Arab World

If journalists are so interested in how much value America gets for its dollars, they might want to explore what "strategic value" is derived from America's \$2 billion annual aid to Egypt. The government-sponsored Egyptian media is filled with loathing of America; the editor of *Al-Akhbar* wrote that America "has become a synonym for oppression and for abnegation of justice." Writing in the same Egyptian government daily, columnist Mahmoud Abd Al-Mun'im Murad denounced America as an enemy whose primary goal is to impose "hegemony on the world, primarily on the Middle East and the Arab world," 46 and suggested that American

landmarks like the Statue of Liberty "must be destroyed." ⁴⁷

Exactly how much U.S. aid money is *that* worth?

This type of America-bashing is rife throughout the Arab world. The Saudi government daily, *Al-Riyadh*, has called for the establishment of an international court to examine America's "war crimes, plundering, coups, what American intelligence did with the drug barons, the policy of abductions and murder, the Hiroshima and Nagasaki bombings, the claims still pending regarding the black slave trade, and the deliberate annihilation of the Indians." That's quite a mouthful given that the United States, through oil imports, pours about \$10 billion annually into Saudi coffers, while supplying the Saudis with some of the world's most advanced weaponry including F-15 combat planes, M1A2 battle tanks and the Patriot air defense system. ⁴⁹

Here's what the U.S. gets back in return: Fifteen of the September 11 hijackers were Saudi nationals, and the Council on Foreign Relations reported that "for years, individuals and charities based in Saudi Arabia have been the most important source of funds for al-Qaeda; and for years, Saudi officials have turned a blind eye to this problem." ⁵⁰

Meanwhile, as the media exaggerates the gap between American and Israeli interests, it downplays the much larger gulf between American and Palestinian interests. When Palestinian leaders spoke of "the shedding of Palestinian blood, thanks to the blind military machine and international protection," ⁵¹ an Associated Press report deleted the rest of the tirade – an anti-American slur about "influential and hegemonistic powers in the international community" – thus guaranteeing that such Palestinian hate speech never reached U.S. newspapers. ⁵²

Remember when Palestinians danced in the streets and handed out candies to celebrate the September 11 attacks?⁵³ That sentiment is reflected in public opinion polls showing that 65 percent of Palestinians support al-Qaeda actions against America and Europe,⁵⁴ and a 2009 Pew Research Center survey that showed unwavering Palestinian support for Osama bin Laden and Mahmoud Ahmadinejad.⁵⁵

On the anniversary of 9-11, the Palestinian Authority daily, Al-Hayat

Al-Jadida, published a cartoon of a smiling bin Laden, making the "V" for victory sign with two fingers in the shape of the burning Twin Towers. ⁵⁶ On Palestinian Authority TV, former Palestinian cabinet minister Abu Ali Shahin blessed al-Qaeda with success, saying: "We are fighting the Americans and hate the Americans more than you." ⁵⁷ PA legislator Nahed Munir Alrayis has threatened "suicide attacks against the United States," while former Hamas chief Abdel Azziz al-Rantissi (mercifully terminated by Israel) proudly declared that "America declared war on God... and God declared war on America." ⁵⁸

In 2011, in a direct affront to American honor, Palestinians celebrating the U.N. statehood bid paraded with posters depicting President Obama as an ape.⁵⁹ When American officials made a celebratory visit to the West Bank in honor of Palestinians who graduated from American-funded education programs, Palestinian protesters blocked their vehicle, spewed English-language expletives, and hurled shoes – a deeply insulting gesture in Arab culture.⁶⁰ Adli Sadeq, Deputy Foreign Minister of the Palestinian Authority, wrote that the U.S. President is "the head of the snake of the American oppression," and opined that "America is sinking deeper and deeper in a putrid swamp, and will extricate itself from it only as a defeated, stinking loser."⁶¹ Imagine the media outcry if an Israeli government official had even made a whiff of such a suggestion.

Yet despite the anti-Americanism that pervades Palestinian society, Western readers still open their newspaper to find headlines like: "Bush Unveils Security Aid for Palestinian Ally." Are these the same "Palestinian allies" who regularly burn the American flag at political rallies? The same "Palestinian allies" who murdered the U.S. ambassador to Sudan? The same "Palestinian allies" who threatened to "mercilessly strike" American targets after the U.S. vetoed a United Nations resolution condemning Israel? The same "Palestinian allies" who sponsor sermons like this from Jerusalem's Al-Aqsa mosque:

Iraq and Baghdad are being subjected to a savage attack by the most arrogant, cruelest and strongest country in the world today – the U.S.... The U.S. came [to the Middle East] under the pretext that it is fighting evil and spreading democracy and freedom. But everyone knows that the U.S. heads the list of evildoers in the world. There is no place left against whose citizens the U.S. has not fought, and it has already murdered millions.⁶⁵

Was any of this reported in your local media? Of course not. Doing so would shatter the narrative of Palestinian underdogs deserving of sympathy.

Worse than ignoring this blatant anti-Americanism, the media proactively covers it up. When Palestinians blew up a U.S. diplomatic convoy in Gaza – en route to interview Palestinian students for scholarships at American universities, killing three Americans – the *Washington Post* quoted a Palestinian condemnation of "this ugly crime targeting American observers as they were on a mission for security and peace." ⁶⁶ Yet while this "remorse and conciliation" was fed to the Western media, the official Palestinian Authority newspaper was singing a different tune: "Perhaps American citizens are so naive to believe the Israeli accusations against us, but... we know that the crime against the American citizens is an Israeli plot masterminded by the minds in the Mossad."

In another cover-up, the *Los Angeles Times* printed the lies of a Palestinian spokesman that "Hamas has never supported attacks on Westerners, as even our harshest critics will concede." The *Times* let this go to print despite the fact that – since "renouncing violence" in the Oslo Accords of 1993 – Palestinians have murdered more than 50 American citizens in terror attacks. ⁶⁹

When Hamas leader Khaled Mashal appeared on the *Charlie Rose Show*, he put on a moderate face for the Western audience: "We don't have a problem whatsoever with the United States or with American interests." Rose did not challenge Mashal's supposed love of America, ignoring his previous harsh statements such as: "Our battle is with two sides, one of them is the strongest power in the world, the United States, and the second is the strongest power in the region [Israel]... By God we will defeat

both the United States and Israel."71

The United States gives hundreds of millions of dollars annually in foreign aid to the Palestinian Authority. So how was this money spent? For starters, there's the \$22 million annual allowance to Yasser Arafat's widow, Suha, guaranteed for lifetime.⁷² Meanwhile, according to senior Palestinian security officer Abu Yousuf, American-run programs to train Palestinian security forces have been instrumental in the "success" of terror attacks: "I do not think that the operations of the Palestinian resistance would have been so successful, and would have killed more than one thousand Israelis since 2000, and defeated the Israelis in Gaza without these [American] trainings."⁷³

If the media wants to criticize how U.S. aid is being spent, it is picking the wrong target.

Terror Risk

Another way the media tries to drive a wedge between the U.S. and Israel is to contend that the relationship places Americans at increased risk for terror. Islamic radicals, the theory goes, are targeting America because their hatred of Israel is so deep that it extends to include Israel's friends. The message: If America wants to be safe, stop supporting Israel.

It's an old trick. Just as Jews were blamed for poisoning water wells during the Black Plague in Medieval Europe, so too when al-Qaeda attacked the United States, several reporters were quick to pin the blame on Israel. At noon on September 11, Peter Jennings of *ABC News* declared that "the hatred of the United States as a patron of Israel, whether you're from Afghanistan, or whether you're from Iran, Iraq, or inside the Palestinian territories is so intense at some levels, and has become more intense in recent months, that nobody will be, very many people will not be surprised at this attack today..."⁷⁴

Jennings ignored the fact that years earlier, Osama bin Laden declared war on the United States when American troops were posted on Saudi soil during the Gulf War. Bin Laden denounced the "occupation" of the Arab Holy Land by "American crusader forces," which he described as "the

latest and greatest aggression" against the Islamic world. Israel was barely a footnote in the rambling 11,000-word fatwa.⁷⁵ Indeed, more than their hatred of Israel (the Little Satan), Islamists hate America (the Big Satan) for embodying the Western political and cultural "infidel" system that radical Islam so violently opposes.

Instead, Jennings ignored all this and was more interested in pursuing the line that Israel is the source of America's problems. Just hours after 3,000 Americans had been murdered, Jennings welcomed as his guest Palestinian spokesliar Hanan Ashrawi. (Viewers were surely unaware that Ashrawi was Jennings' girlfriend back in the 1970s, when she was a graduate student and he was the head of ABC's bureau in Beirut.)⁷⁶ As all of America sat riveted, Jennings gave Ashrawi a platform to claim that the al-Qaeda attack was due to America's "very direct role in the politics and the realities" of the Mideast, particularly its "blind support for Israel." Ashrawi then launched into a tirade about Palestinians being killed "by missiles fired from Apache helicopters, gunships, fired from F-16s. They all have 'made in the USA' on them."

Just to be sure that viewers internalized the message that America's relationship with Israel is the cause of Arab hatred, Jennings echoed back to Ashrawi: "I think – is the point you're making – that Palestinians hold the United States as accountable for that as they do Israel?"⁷⁷

Jennings' report was, in the words of *Washington Post* television critic Tom Shales, "a nauseating display."⁷⁸

If it is true that terrorism against the U.S. stems largely from the American-Israeli alliance, how does that explain the motive for Islamic terror against Spain, Bali, India, Saudi Arabia, Jordan, Beslan, Indonesia, Pakistan and other countries not closely aligned with Israel and even openly hostile to it?

Jennings was not alone in blaming 9-11 on America's relationship with Israel. *Time* magazine's Tony Karon surmised that al-Qaeda's "motivation to launch a spectacular attack would have grown exponentially over the past year as anti-American feeling surged on the Arab streets in response [to] U.S. support for Israel..."⁷⁹ As if al-Qaeda would hammer its swords

into ploughshares and bin Laden would return to the family construction business if only America would abandon its alliance with Israel.⁸⁰

Some media outlets went a step further and suggested that Israel actually *perpetrated* the 9-11 attacks. Even after bin Laden had claimed responsibility, the *New York Times* quoted Salam Al-Marayati, executive director of the Muslim Public Affairs Council in Los Angeles: "If we're going to look at suspects, we should look to the groups that benefit the most from these kinds of incidents, and I think we should put the state of Israel on the suspect list..." *Newsweek*, meanwhile, quoted Major General Hamid Gul, former head of Pakistan's intelligence service: "I can't say for sure who was behind it, but it's the Israelis who are creating so much misery in the world." 82

These outrageous smears are eerily reminiscent of those found in the vilest Arabic press. Hezbollah's TV network, al-Manar, reported that on 9-11 more than 4,000 Jews did not show up for work at the World Trade Center – alleging that Israel's intelligence service, the Mossad, had advance warning of the attacks and were likely responsible for carrying them out.⁸³ And in what can only be called a backhanded compliment, Palestinian diplomat Salim Abu Sultan told the *Gulf News*, a daily in the United Arab Emirates: "I can smell Israeli fingers behind this. The scope of the attack was beyond the capability of Arabs... Only the Israelis have the ability to do such things."⁸⁴

Meanwhile, Sheikh Muhammad Gemeaha of the Islamic Cultural Center in Manhattan cited "proof that Jews were the [9-11] terrorists because only they had the capability to neutralize the automatic pilot, command the control tower, erase the black boxes and infiltrate the White House and Pentagon." In reporting the sheik's inflammatory remarks, the *New York Times* tried to turn Gemeaha into a moderate, citing his sermons "calling for peace, healing and love among people of all religions." 86

After exhausting the supply of Muslim slander against Israel, the Western media simply made up their own. The *Washington Post* reported that two Israeli employees of the instant-messaging firm Odigo "received text messages warning of an attack on the World Trade Center two hours

before terrorists crashed planes into the New York landmarks."⁸⁷ This was followed by a Fox News allegation that Israeli intelligence officials failed to share what they knew about September 11 with their American counterparts prior to the attacks.⁸⁸

Pat Buchanan published the claim that the Israeli Mossad blew up the World Trade Center with planted explosives, and used remote control devices to fly the planes into the towers to cover it all up. He also has claimed that Israel stole a nuclear bomb from the U.S. and plans to explode it in an American city to cover up a plot to commit genocide against the Palestinians.⁸⁹ The rantings of an extremist wacko? Maybe, yet Buchanan continues to regularly appear as a political commentator on *The McLaughlin Group* and on MSNBC.

Amidst all these stories of Israel causing terror, where are the reports about Israel as the vanguard in the battle against Islamic terror? Doesn't Israel regularly provide the U.S. with key intelligence information, bona fide testing of defensive weapons, and frontline defense against radical Muslim regimes bent on causing catastrophic harm – what Joint Chiefs of Staff Chairman Adm. Mike Mullen, America's highest-ranking officer, termed "extraordinary value" that is "absolutely critical" to U.S. national security?90 Has everyone forgotten how Israel's 1981 strike that destroyed Iraq's Osirak nuclear reactor prevented Saddam Hussein from acquiring nuclear weapons, a move that - despite widespread condemnation at the time – was acknowledged 10 years later as a necessary precondition to the success of Operation Desert Storm? In other words, if Iraq had been a nuclear power in 1991, the U.S. would have been hard pressed to eject Hussein's army from Kuwait and block his regime from monopolizing oil supplies in the Persian Gulf. 91 How much money and how many lives did that end up saving America?92

Yet the media continues to scare Americans into thinking that support for Israel translates into increased terror. Under the headline, "Israeli Settlements Threaten World Security," Tribune Media Services (a conglomeration of the Los Angeles Times Syndicate and the Chicago Tribune Syndicate) stated: "It is clear that the Israeli/Palestinian conflict stands at the center of what motivates so much terrorism against the United States." *Toronto Sun* columnist Eric Margolis drew a direct correlation between Israeli actions and terror against Americans, suggesting that 9-11 had been "payback" for Israeli security operations – and that "the next attack on the US or its citizens abroad will likely be labeled, 'Gaza'." ⁹⁴

Indeed, the Western media has the propensity to blame Israel for every problem in the Arab world. During the Arab Spring, when 60 protesters were killed in Tunisian riots, the *New York Times* suggested a primary cause was "Israeli intransigence." Only 18 paragraphs down did the *Times* mention the true reason for the protests: "rising food prices, corruption, unemployment" and government repression.⁹⁵

To test the theory that Israel threatens world stability, let's imagine for a moment that Israel did not exist. Would that change the basic story line in the Middle East?

During the Arab Spring, would hundreds of thousands of Arabs have refrained from protesting in the streets of Egypt, Tunisia, Algeria, Yemen, Jordan, Morocco, Syria and Libya? Would Iraq have decided not to invade Kuwait in 1990, and not have used chemical weapons against its own Kurdish population? Would Saudi Arabia stop exporting its Wahhabi model of Islam, with its rejectionist view of non-Muslim "infidels" across the globe? Would the danger posed by the Muslim Brotherhood in Egypt magically disappear? Would Shiites and Sunnis, who have been at each other's throats for more than a millennium, spontaneously make peace? Would Iraq and Iran have chosen not to pursue an eight-year war that cost more than a million lives? Would the Sudanese government have stopped its collusion with the Arab Janjaweed militias to end the genocide and displacement in Darfur? Would sectarian groups in Iraq suddenly put aside their internal struggles? Would the Taliban have not blown up ancient Buddha statues? Would radical Islamic movements in the Balkans, Algeria and Chechnya all suddenly become moderate? Would the desperate poverty and widespread illiteracy that afflicts the Arab world quickly disappear? Would women, non-Muslims and other minority groups finally enjoy equal rights in Arab countries, free of government

persecution? Would the proportionately miniscule Arab contributions to technology and medicine suddenly be reversed? Would al-Qaeda not have attacked the U.S. on September 11, and would Islamists not have bombed Bali, London and Madrid?⁹⁶

Of course not. Yet the media clings to their mythical "linkage theory." Two days after the 2005 terrorist bombings in London, Tony Blair gave a high-profile interview on BBC Radio mentioning that "some of the critical issues in the Middle East" need to be "dealt with and sorted out." Although Blair never identified any specific issues, Associated Press went ahead and "quoted" Blair as saying that the critical Mideast issue is "easing the conflict between Israel and the Palestinians." Blair never linked Israel with the London attacks; that was purely an AP fabrication revealing its own biased view. 99

When it comes to linkage, the media's thickest smokescreen is reserved for the illusion that the Israeli-Palestinian conflict would somehow magically make Iran's nuclear and hegemonic ambitions evaporate into thin air. It's a theory termed by Jimmy Carter as a "linkage fact" ("Without doubt, the path to peace in the Middle East goes through Jerusalem")¹⁰⁰ and posited on the pages of the *Washington Post*¹⁰¹ and the *New York Times*.¹⁰²

The 2011 WikiLeaks totally shattered the false logic of linkage. Diplomatic memos showed that for years, Arab states have regarded the threat from Iran as their highest priority ("obsessed with Iran," says the *New York Times*) and pleaded with the United States to take military action. Saudi King Abdullah "frequently exhorted the US to attack Iran to put an end to its nuclear weapons program" (to "cut off the head of the snake"). ¹⁰³ The King of Bahrain warned that Iran's nuclear program "must be stopped," while the United Arab Emirates defense chief declared: "Ahmadinejad is Hitler." ¹⁰⁴ None of the Arab leaders conditioned their opposition to Iran on settling the Israel-Palestinian conflict. Ironically, the memos show Israel keeping its cool, while the Arabs are the ones (justifiably) freaking out. ¹⁰⁵

So in the end, what was all the hullabaloo about "warmongering Israel single-handedly endangering geopolitical stability"? And what was

behind the media mantra that solving the Palestinian issue is essential to broad Arab support in confronting Iran? Was it naiveté? An analytical error? Or a malicious attempt to pressure Israel.¹⁰⁶

And so it goes, with the media claiming that a change in Israeli policy would solve every global challenge from climate change to the common cold. Writing in Australia's *The Age*, Michael Backman declared: "We have paid for Israel's failure with bombs on London public transport, bombs in bars in Bali, and even the loss of the World Trade Centre towers in New York... [Israel] is at the nub of these events." ¹⁰⁷

Christian Wedge

One of the strongest bastions of support for Israel is the evangelical Christian community, which holds strong pro-Israel political views and donates untold millions of dollars toward pro-Israel causes. ¹⁰⁸ Fundamentalist Christians act in accord with the prophetic imperative – "For Zion's sake I will not keep silent; for Jerusalem's sake I will not remain quiet" (Isaiah 62:1) – taking seriously the biblical promise that God will make a great nation of Abraham¹⁰⁹ and that the Holy Land belongs to the Jews as an everlasting possession. ¹¹⁰

And so, for those seeking to weaken support for Israel, a primary tactic is to drive a wedge between the Jewish state and the pro-Israel Christian community. At times, the media creates an impression that Israel is out to destroy the very cradle of Christian civilization. When Israel prepared to build a wall to protect worshippers at Rachel's Tomb on the outskirts of Bethlehem, Reuters published this headline: "Israel to Split Christ's Birthplace with Barrier." Reuters made it sound as though Israel was plowing a bulldozer straight through the Church of the Nativity. To emphasize its (false) point, Reuters repeated the word "Christ" or "Christian" in each of the article's first four sentences – even though Rachel's Tomb has no historical connection to "Christ's birthplace" and is miles from the church.

Far from practicing anti-Christian policies, Israel is the *only* country in the Middle East where the Christian population has increased since

1948 – having risen by more than 400 percent, ¹¹² and continues to rise every year. ¹¹³ By contrast, the rest of the Middle East – Lebanon, Egypt, Iraq and Syria – is characterized by widespread "de-Christianization." Turkey, regarded as a moderate Islamic state, has seen its Christian population decline 100-fold in the last century. ¹¹⁴ In Saudi Arabia, the practice of Christianity is plain illegal. ¹¹⁵ Yet this did not stop *Time* magazine reporter Andrew Lee Butters from using Pope Benedict's 2009 visit to Israel to foment the lie that "the creation of Israel has been a disaster for Christians in the Middle East." ¹¹⁶

If anything has been a disaster for Christians, it is the rise of Muslim fundamentalism. In the West Bank town of Kalkilya, the local YMCA was destroyed,¹¹⁷ and Palestinian gunmen blew up the YMCA library in the Gaza Strip; two guards were kidnapped, the offices were looted and all 8,000 books were destroyed.¹¹⁸ When four masked gunmen tried to abduct a church employee in Gaza, a local Christian leader lamented how the incident is "aimed at sending a message to all the Christians here that we must leave. Radical Islamic groups are waging a campaign to get rid of us and no one seems to care."¹¹⁹

In 2005, hundreds of Muslims screaming *Allahu Akbar* carried out a pogrom against Christians in the West Bank city of Taibe – setting dozens of homes and businesses on fire, looting valuables, and destroying Christian icons. ¹²⁰ Palestinian gunmen destroyed a Christian television station based in Bethlehem and the *Boston Globe* reported "a rampage of Palestinian Muslims against Christian shops and churches in Ramallah." ¹²² In 2009, Muslims desecrated two Christian cemeteries in a West Bank village near Ramallah, desecrating 70 graves and decapitating a statue of the Virgin Mary. ¹²³

Did any of this get reported in your local newspaper?

In 2007, the manager of Gaza's only Christian bookstore was kidnapped and murdered – shot in the head and stabbed multiple times.¹²⁴ The slaying garnered a one-paragraph mention in the *Washington Post's* "World in Brief" section.¹²⁵ Imagine if a Muslim activist had been found shot and stabbed on an Israeli street after receiving death threats for "missionary"

work." A one-paragraph news brief? Don't bet on it. 126

It comes as no surprise that following the Hamas takeover in 2006, the Christian population of Gaza fell by 64 percent – from 5,000 to less than 1,800 in 2010. Yet in noting this demographic trend, the *New York Times* made no mention of Palestinian-led violence and intimidation; instead the *Times* cited a Palestinian official expressing his disingenuous hope "to keep the presence of the Christians alive and well." 128

In the city of Bethlehem, the literal birthplace of Christianity, the Christian population began to drastically decline in 1995, the very year the Palestinian Authority assumed administrative control. The PA unilaterally annexed an additional 30,000 Muslims to Bethlehem and then redistricted the municipal boundaries – ensuring a Muslim majority in any future elections. ¹²⁹ In order to further freeze Christians out of the Palestinian political process, a 2007 Palestinian summit was intentionally held in Mecca, a city where Christians (and all non-Muslims, for that matter) are barred by law. ¹³⁰

Under Palestinian control, the de-Christianization of Bethlehem has been ruthless. A Greek Orthodox monastery next to the Church of the Nativity was confiscated and converted into the PA president's official Bethlehem residence. Bethlehem Christians have been forced to shut down businesses after failing to pay "protection money" to local Muslims. This campaign took another nasty turn in 2006 when Bethlehem City Council member Hassan El-Masalmeh publicly advocated a discriminatory "dhimmi tax" on non-Muslim residents. Not surprisingly, Christians in Bethlehem and neighboring Beit Jala are fleeing in large numbers; after once comprising 60-70 percent of the city's population, they have now dwindled to 15 percent.

Yet the media continues to censure Israel for Bethlehem's woes. A Reuters "news" report squarely placed the "blame [on] Israel for the shrinking community" – an editorialization unsupported by any survey, study or citation. Scotland on Sunday newspaper attributed the steep decline of Bethlehem's Christian population to Israel's very existence: "Adding to the gloom is more evidence of a dwindling Christian presence

in the town. The exodus of Christians began with the establishment of the State of Israel."¹³⁶ And in an article entitled, "Israeli Settlements Squeezing Out Christians and Muslims," the *Irish Times* – Ireland's newspaper of record – claimed that Israel's humanitarian policy of granting travel permits to West Bank Christians is actually an evil scheme "designed to cause animosities" between Muslim and Christian groups.¹³⁷

Not surprisingly, much of this Christian-Israel "wedge" begins in the Palestinian media, where a common – and insidious – theme is to compare the situation of Palestinians today to the persecution of Jesus. The official Palestinian daily, *Al-Hayat Al-Jadida*, described how "the suffering of the first Palestinian – the Messiah – started with the Last Supper." Palestinian TV reported that "Jesus was the first Palestinian martyr... no one denies that." When Israel reduced power to Gaza, a Jordanian cartoon depicted a Palestinian being crucified on an electricity pole. And on the television talk show *Good Morning Jerusalem*, a Palestinian artist displayed a painting of Jesus flanked by two Israeli soldiers, explaining that "our struggle today against [Israel] is an eternal one. It can be said that it started 2,000 years ago and continues until today..."

Palestinians cleverly present themselves as the heirs to the legacy of Christ, playing off the millennia-old hatred of Jews for rejecting Jesus. The Palestinian newspaper *Al-Hayat Al-Jadida* described Nazareth as "the city in which the Jews murdered the first of its Palestinian sons," ¹⁴² and called Jesus "the first Palestinian martyr for Allah." ¹⁴³ This ignores the basic facts of history: Given that the region under discussion was not called "Palestine" until years after Jesus' lifetime, and Islam itself only came into existence centuries later, Jesus could never have been a "Palestinian martyr for Allah."

And though this imagery begins in the Palestinian press, before long Western journalists are latching on as well. *Aftonbladet*, the most widely circulated newspaper in Sweden, headlined an editorial: "The Crucifixion of Arafat." The Italian daily *La Stampa* ran a front-page cartoon showing an Israeli tank emblazoned with a Jewish star, pointing a large gun at baby Jesus in a manger, as the infant pleads, "Surely they don't want to kill me

again, do they?"145

The media presents this as part of the same ongoing struggle: just as the Jews opposed Jesus, so too Israel is hostile toward the Palestinians. For Christians, this imagery is as negative and as visceral as it gets.

At Christmas time, the media makes a special effort to strain Christian-Israel relations. Portraying Israel as the grinch, BBC's Orla Guerlin delivered a bleak report from Nazareth entitled, "How the Israelis Stole Christmas." The London *Independent*, meanwhile, wrote about an Arab woman who claims she was stopped at a checkpoint on her way to deliver a baby; the article compares her plight to "the birth pains" of Mary Magdalene, a "Palestinian refugee in Bethlehem." Savor the irony of Mary – a Jew who lived years before the term "Palestine" ever came to describe the region – being revised as a "Palestinian refugee."

In the run-up to Easter, Reuters described "hardships" experienced by Christians wanting to celebrate at holy sites in Jerusalem. Under the headline, "In Holy Land, Easter Not What it Was," Reuters reported that Israel had issued 10,000 entry permits to Palestinian Christians, and then noted that "as a result, there has been a big drop in local participation in Easter week ceremonies." ¹⁴⁸

Readers got the distinct impression that Israel is hindering the celebration of Christian holidays. Yet media monitors dug into microfilm archives to reveal the truth of whether Easter participation has dropped under Israeli rule. News reports from the era when Jerusalem was under Jordanian control (1948-1967) show a total of 5,000 pilgrims in Jerusalem for Easter festivities¹⁴⁹ – half the number of permits that Israel allotted to Palestinians alone. Similarly, when Jerusalem was under British control (1917-1948), only a few thousand pilgrims would come every year.¹⁵⁰ Things were even worse during the pre-1917 Ottoman era, when Easter services in Jerusalem would often turn violent. So despite the fact that Easter participation has increased significantly under Israeli rule, Reuters could not resist ascribing anti-Christian motives to Israel.¹⁵¹

The media loves to go this route, no matter how remote and ludicrous. In 2002, when a group of 128 armed Palestinians invaded Bethlehem's

Church of the Nativity – stealing gold objects from the monks and urinating on the church floor¹⁵² – the media still found a way to blame the Jews. Writing in the *PostGlobal* blog produced jointly by *Newsweek* and the *Washington Post*, journalist Lamis Andoni fallaciously claimed that the Church of the Nativity was "bombed by the Israeli army" during the siege in Bethlehem.¹⁵³ And in describing the event on ABC's *Nightline*, reporter Jim Wooten spoke of Israel's prime minister as "the hated architect of Israeli expansion" and "the devil incarnate."¹⁵⁴ Within a few years, the Palestinian takeover of the church had been all but erased from history; in 2007, *National Geographic's* 6,000-word exploration of events in Bethlehem made zero mention of that appalling episode.¹⁵⁵

Adding their own brand of libel, McClatchy Newspapers – publisher of 32 dailies in the U.S. – squarely blamed Israel for all of Bethlehem's woes: "Gone is the olivewood stable shielding the baby Jesus, Mary and Joseph. In its place, looming over the angelic family, are an Israeli watchtower and three towering sections of an adjoining wall... [Bethlehem] remains largely isolated from the outside world by Israel's 25-foot-tall concrete walls, part of Israel's separation barrier." ¹⁵⁶

Is Bethlehem really surrounded by a wall? Israel built a wire fence in the area where northern Bethlehem interfaces with Jerusalem and added only a small segment of concrete wall – facing a major Israeli roadway – to prevent gunmen from shooting at Israeli motorists. ¹⁵⁷ Yet the media makes this out to be a direct attack on the heart of Christianity.

All this "news" about Israel being "anti-Christian" is more than just harmless chatter. In 2004, the Presbyterian Church (U.S.A.) approved a resolution calling for "phased selective divestment in multinational corporations operating in Israel," such as Motorola and Citigroup. ¹⁵⁸ And in 2008, the United Methodist Church debated whether to pull its holdings in Caterpillar, which provides the Israel Defense Forces with bulldozers. ¹⁵⁹ (The United Church of Christ and the Church of England have held similar discussions.) ¹⁶⁰ Meanwhile, the *Christian Science Monitor* added fuel to the fire with an online poll asking: "Should US-based churches boycott certain companies doing business with Israel?" ¹⁶¹

Israel is a vanguard of religious freedom in a region where Christians are widely persecuted and treated as second class. As Monsignor Robert Stern, secretary general of the Catholic Near East Welfare Association, acknowledged: Christians "feel a lot of social pressure living in an Islamic world. A lot of them are in politically very uncertain circumstances – where they're at risk."¹⁶²

Yet reading your local newspaper, you'd be forgiven for thinking that Israel is the Mideast's worst offender. It's all part of the media's efforts to drive a wedge between Israel and the Christian community, further demonizing Israel and eroding its support in the West.

The Deep Bond

Despite the media's audacious efforts to influence the American public otherwise, opinion polls consistently exhibit overwhelming support for Israel. A 2011 CNN poll showed that 82 percent of Americans view Israel as an ally and/or friend of the United States; only Great Britain received higher marks. 163

What is at the root of this longstanding and durable support? It surely isn't grounded in energy policy or a quest for diplomatic popularity. Nor, as the conspiracy-minded critics have claimed, is it because a "Zionist lobby" has hijacked U.S. foreign policy to manipulate Washington into serving Israel's ends. 164

Back in the 1980s when I had the privilege of working for Congressman Jack Kemp, I wrote position papers on the American-Israeli relationship, identifying core reasons for this deep bond. Israel stands as a beacon of Western-style democracy amidst a sea of totalitarian Arab states. Diplomatic disasters – such as the 1979 Islamic revolution in Iran (until then America's most important ally in the Persian Gulf) and more recently, the chaos of the Arab Spring with U.S. allies like Mubarak in Egypt being supplanted in part by the radical Muslim Brotherhood – have highlighted America's need for reliable Mideast allies. Indeed, Israel has proven an island of stability, giving America the security of knowing that a change in leadership will not cause a shift in allegiance.

Further, Israel's location at the strategic crossroads of North Africa and Southwest Asia – a junction of paramount American interests – means that the U.S. can minimize its military deployments in this vital area. U.S. Navy ships routinely dock in Haifa; Air Force planes refuel at Israeli bases; \$800 million of U.S. arms and medical equipment are pre-positioned in Israel. (In the Persian Gulf, by contrast, the absence of a dependable and sturdy ally like Israel has impelled the United States to commit hundreds of thousands of troops and trillions of dollars.) Secretary of State Alexander M. Haig's observation 30 years ago still resonates today: "Israel is the largest American aircraft carrier in the world that cannot be sunk, does not carry even one American soldier, and is located in a critical region for American national security." ¹⁶⁵

Beyond this, Israel stands on the frontline of the war against terror, providing America with advanced intelligence and security know-how. In the assessment of Maj. Gen. George J. Keegan Jr., former head of U.S. Air Force intelligence, America's military defense capability "owes more to the Israeli intelligence input than it does to any single source of intelligence," the worth of which, he estimates, exceeds "five CIAs." It is for this reason that many have urged that U.S. assistance to Israel be classified not as foreign aid, but as an American "defense expenditure."

Americans also identify with Israel's immigrant- and refugee-based culture, and a pioneering spirit that is mindful of what America must have been like in its earliest days. Israel's War of Independence was won by a motley crew of Holocaust survivors and kibbutz farmers who used even broomsticks for weapons; America's War of Independence was won by frontier farmers who grabbed the muskets off their walls, using weapons better suited to hunting rabbits than to fighting the mighty British Army.

These scrappy beginnings bred an entrepreneurial ideal that has today made the U.S. and Israel the two countries with the largest number of startup companies in the world. Incredibly, the tiny nation of Israel – population 7 million – is at the forefront of cutting-edge technologies in the fields of medicine, agriculture, communications, software and sustainable energies, boasting the largest number of NASDAQ-listed companies

outside of North America.168

Yet in the final analysis, these reasons do not fully account for the depth of the U.S.-Israel connection. At its root, I believe that Americans identify so closely with Israel because Jewish history and the Hebrew Bible resonate with the American experience. The pilgrims who sailed on the Mayflower in 1620 – Puritan refugees escaping religious persecution in Europe – viewed their emigration as a virtual re-enactment of the Jewish exodus from Egypt. The Pilgrims considered Britain as modern-day Egypt, with King Charles in the role of Pharaoh. The tumultuous Atlantic Ocean was the Red Sea, America was the Promised Land, and the goal was to build a new Jerusalem. As expressed by John Winthrop, the first Governor of Massachusetts: "God has entered into a Covenant with those who are on their way to wilderness in America, just as he had entered into Covenant with the Israelites in the wilderness of Sinai."

Thus the story of Moses became the story of America.

America's first institutions of higher learning, including Harvard and Princeton, made Bible study and Hebrew part of the required curriculum.¹⁷¹ The Founding Fathers would later suggest that Hebrew be designated as America's official language.¹⁷² When designing the Great Seal of the United States, Benjamin Franklin proposed an image of the Israelites fleeing the tyranny of Egypt across the Red Sea. Thomas Jefferson suggested the Jews in the wilderness, guided by a pillar of cloud by day and pillar of fire by night.¹⁷³

As America expanded westward, the popular code-word became "Manifest Destiny," expressing the belief that the United States was destined, even divinely ordained, to expand across the North American continent.¹⁷⁴

Beyond this, the teachings of the Jewish Bible have formed America's ideological foundation. The Liberty Bell in Philadelphia – America's premier symbol of freedom – carries an inscription from Leviticus 25:10: "Proclaim liberty throughout all the land and unto all the inhabitants thereof." John Locke, the author the first draft of the constitution of the Carolinas, considered the 613 Laws of Moses to be the ideal legal foundation

for America. ¹⁷⁵ The opening lines of the Declaration of Independence – "We hold these truths to be self evident that all men are created equal, that they are endowed by their Creator with certain unalienable rights" – marks the first time in history that Jewish ethics were legally enshrined into the laws of a non-Jewish nation. ¹⁷⁶

These biblical values have taken deep root. In the words of historian Paul Johnson, to the Jews "we owe the idea of equality before the law, both divine and human; of the sanctity of life and the dignity of human person; of the individual conscience and so a personal redemption; of collective conscience and so of social responsibility; of peace as an abstract ideal and love as the foundation of justice, and many other items which constitute the basic moral furniture of the human mind." President Calvin Coolidge affirmed that "the Hebraic mortars cemented the foundations of American democracy," and President Franklin D. Roosevelt articulated in a 1935 radio address: "We cannot read the history of our rise and development as a nation, without reckoning the place the Bible has occupied." 179

No wonder that there are towns across America named Zion, Jerusalem, Jericho, Mount Sinai and more than a dozen "Bethlehems." By the same token, Washington and Lincoln streets are a feature of modern Israel. Alone in the Middle East, Israel hosts memorials for John F. Kennedy, Martin Luther King Jr, September 11, and two exact replicas of the Liberty Bell.

Nor should it be a surprise that U.S. Presidents as far back as John Adams and Abraham Lincoln backed the "noble dream" of a re-created independent Jewish state in the Holy Land.¹⁸⁰

In this sense, the American-Israel relationship transcends any ephemeral political, military or economic considerations. It is rooted in a shared belief that "freedom with responsibility" is the cornerstone of human exceptionalism. Americans recognize that Israel is an eternal guardian of these divine values, which the Jewish prophets brought to the world and which the United States fights valiantly to uphold. Thus, on the deepest level, pro-Israel sentiment stems from the belief that Israel is an American value, part of the American soul.

This has forged a relationship so profound and enduring that even media manipulations have been unable to drive a wedge.

Not that they don't try. In July 2010, just hours prior to an important White House meeting between President Obama and Israeli Prime Minister Netanyahu, the *New York Times* published a major 4,800-word story, highlighting how U.S. tax laws have helped Israelis receive funding from American donors. The article contained no "new information" and had no "timely" news aspect, yet the *Times* – in a cleverly-timed effort to create a new bone of contention between Obama and Netanyahu – gave it prominent page-one coverage above the fold.

A year later, when Netanyahu flew to Washington for talks with U.S. leaders, the media was positioned to sway public opinion either for or against his policies. The *New York Times* made its position clear: "Israelis See Netanyahu Trip as Diplomatic Failure." Yet when real Israelis were asked their opinion, the headline in *Haaretz* (ironically the *Times'* Israel partner) declared: "Netanyahu's Popularity Soaring Following Washington Trip." Globes, Israel's leading business periodical, confirmed that "according to all opinion polls," support for Netanyahu "is soaring to heights it hasn't known for years." The *New York Times*' declaration of "diplomatic failure" flew in the face of reality – yet reflected what the *Times* apparently hoped to be true.

Journalists have tried other low-handed tactics to undermine the U.S.-Israel bond. During the first months of Obama's presidency, he enjoyed a 60 percent favorable rating among Israelis. Then, after an intense dispute over Israeli construction in Jerusalem, Obama's popularity in Israel took a huge drop. The reason? According to Ethan Bronner of the *New York Times*, it had nothing to do with politics. Appearing on MSNBC's *Hardball*, Bronner explained that Obama's low popularity among Israelis was due to "racism." For viewers who may have missed it, host Chris Matthews helpfully added: "Because they see it as a black man." 185

What a horrific distortion of the truth. Obviously, Obama was just as much "a black man" during his period of huge popularity in Israel; the drop in support was a purely political, not racial, issue.

The "Israel is racist" fantasy was echoed by *New York Times*' columnist Roger Cohen, who wrote that an Israeli cartoon which depicted "Obama cooking Netanyahu in a pot" was not a symbol of an Israeli politician in hot water, but rather the image of "a black man cooking a white man over an open fire." Genuine policy differences may exist, but why is the media shamefacedly interjecting racist hatred where none exists?

Of course nobody bothered to consider the fact that "racist" Israel has granted asylum to black Muslims fleeing the war in Sudan. ¹⁸⁷ Or that "racist" Israel airlifted tens of thousands of Ethiopian Jews – marking the only time in history that blacks have been systematically moved to another country in freedom rather than in chains. ¹⁸⁸

And yet, Peter Jennings told viewers of *World News Tonight* about Palestinian "artists" who'd created a model of the Statue of Liberty with the torch pointed downward – in order to "symbolize," Jennings helpfully explained, "how the U.S. was inverting its own values by supporting Israel."¹⁸⁹

Ironically, this media bias against Israel may actually strengthen support from Americans who, deep down, recognize that Israel – surrounded by Arab enemies, vilified in international forums, and badgered by the Media Goliath – is an underdog in the truest sense of the word.

Notes

- 1. Taki, "Rich Rewards," The Spectator (UK), February 24, 2001.
- 2. David Lauter, "Baker's Reported Slam at Jews is Denied," Los Angeles Times, March 7, 1992.
- Julie Wiener, "Presidential Aspirant Buchanan Attacked for 'Aura of Anti-Semitism," Jewish Telegraphic Agency, September 22, 1999.
- 4. Michael Scherer, "The Pro-Israel Lobby's Plan to Storm Congress," Time, March 22, 2010.
- Stephen Walt and John Mearsheimer, The Israel Lobby and U.S. Foreign Policy, Farrar Straus Giroux, 2007.
- 6. Eli Lake, "David Duke Claims to be Vindicated by a Harvard Dean," The Sun (New York), March 20, 2006. It is noteworthy that the European Union's definition of anti-Semitism refers in part to "stereotypical allegations about Jews" including "the myth about a world Jewish conspiracy or of Jews controlling the media, economy, government or other societal institutions." ("Working Definition of Antisemitism," European Forum on Antisemitism.)
- 7. When mogul Mortimer Zuckerman was singled out by Walt and Mearsheimer as a member of the "Israeli lobby," Zuckerman responded: "The allegations of this disproportionate influence of the Jewish community remind me of the 92-year-old man sued in a paternity

- suit. He said he was so proud; he pleaded guilty." (Meghan Clyne, "Kalb Upbraids Harvard Dean Over Israel," New York Sun, March 21, 2006.)
- Bill Keller, "Is It Good for the Jews?", New York Times, March 8, 2003. The Washington Post likewise invoked U.S. policymakers as "presumably too weak and gullible to evade the manipulations of these Jews." (Editorial, "Blaming the Jews," Washington Post, March 12, 2003.)
- 9. Fred Donner, Chicago Tribune, March 10, 2003.
- Ted Barrett, "Lawmaker Under Fire for Saying Jews Support Iraq War," CNN.com, March 12, 2003.
- 11. Tony Judt, "A Lobby, Not a Conspiracy," New York Times, April 19, 2006. This is a replay of 1991 when Pat Buchanan said that the only forces in favor of U.S. involvement in the Persian Gulf War were "the Israeli Defense Ministry and its amen corner in the United States." (Julie Wiener, "Presidential Aspirant Buchanan Attacked for 'Aura of Anti-Semitism,'" Jewish Telegraphic Agency, September 22, 1999.)
- 12. "Blaming the War on Israel," The Economist, March 13, 2003.
- 13. Alan Sipress, "Israel Emphasizes Iranian Threat," Washington Post, February 7, 2002. Similarly, the New York Times quoted Lt. Gen. Moshe Yaalon, Israel's chief of staff: "I'm not losing any sleep over the Iraqi threat." (James Bennet, "Sharon Tells Cabinet to Keep Quiet on U.S. Plans," New York Times, October 6, 2002.)
- 14. Martin Kramer, "Israel and the Iraq War," Sandstorm blog, April 12, 2006.
- Georgie Ann Geyer, "Now Isn't the Time for Bush League Moves," Universal Press Syndicate, May 10, 2002.
- Israel H. Asper, "Dishonest Reporting: Media Bias Against Israel," Aish.com, November 10, 2002.
- Nick Pitt, "Confident Dmitriy Salita Plots Black Sabbath for Amir Khan," Sunday Times (UK), November 29, 2009.
- Anne Flaherty, "Congress Denounces Iran's Ahmadinejad," Associated Press, September 25, 2007
- 19. James Taranto, "Best of the Web Today," Wall Street Journal Online, September 26, 2007.
- 20. Helene Cooper and Ethan Bronner, "Netanyahu Gives No Ground in Congress Speech," New York Times, May 24, 2011; cited in "Bibi's Speech to Congress and Media Reaction," Snapshots blog, May 24, 2011. In contrast to the Israeli lobby with its hundreds of thousands of grass root members, the powerful Arab lobby has virtually no popular support among Americans, and yet its petro-dollars are used to influence American policy-makers. Prince Bandar, former Saudi ambassador to the United States, explained: "If the reputation then builds that the Saudis take care of friends when they leave office, you'd be surprised how much better friends you have when they are just coming into office." (Mitchell Bard, The Arab Lobby, Harper, 2010; Alan M. Dershowitz, "The Arab Lobby Rules America," The Daily Beast, August 24, 2010.)
- Chicago Herald, July 15, 1915; cited in Joyce Milton, Tramp: The Life of Charlie Chaplin, HarperCollins, 1996.
- Jeremy Bowen, "Analysis: Bleak Climate for Mid-East Talks," BBC News Online, May 9, 2010.
- For a comprehensive refutation of the accusations against Israel, see Michael B. Oren, "USS Liberty: Case Closed," Azure, Spring 2000; A. Jay Cristol, The Liberty Incident: The 1967 Attack on the U.S. Navy Spy Ship, Potomac Books, 2002.
- 24. Berel Wein, Faith and Fate, Shaar Press, 2001.
- For example, Bruce Ramsey, "Story of Assault on U.S. Ship Offers Much But Not the Reason," Seattle Times, June 21, 2009; Ron Martz, "Was it Fog of War, Or an Act of War, in '67 Attack?", Atlanta Journal-Constitution, June 8, 2007; John Crewdson, "New Revelations in Attack on

- American Spy Ship," Chicago Tribune, October 2, 2007.
- 26. Peter Hounam, Operation Cyanide: How the Bombing of the USS Liberty Nearly Caused World War III, Vision, 2003. See also: James Scott, The Attack on the Liberty: The Untold Story of Israel's Deadly 1967 Assault on a U.S. Spy Ship, Simon & Schuster, 2009; John E. Borne, The USS Liberty: Dissenting History vs. Official History, 1993; Andrew and Leslie Cockburn, Dangerous Liaison: The Inside Story of the U.S.-Israel Covert Relationship, Bodley Head Ltd, 1991; John Ranelagh, The Agency: The Rise and Decline of the CIA, Simon & Schuster, 1986; Stephen Green, Taking Sides: America's Secret Relations with a Militant Israel, William Morrow & Co, 1984; Donald Neff, Warriors for Jerusalem: The Six Days that Changed the Middle East, Amana Books, 1984; James M. Ennes Jr., Assault on the Liberty, Random House, 1979; Richard Deacon, The Israeli Secret Service, 1977.
- Neal Conan, "Interview with James Bamford," Fresh Air from WHYY, National Public Radio, April 24, 2001.
- 28. Suzy Hansen, "The Assault on the USS Liberty," Salon.com, April 25, 2001. ("Bamford's research adds weight to long-held insider beliefs that the attack was deliberate.")
- David Ensor, "Israel's 1967 Attack on U.S. Ship Deliberate, Book Says," CNN.com, April 23, 2001.
- 30. "Best Sellers: Nonfiction," New York Times, May 27, 2001. The hype was generated by praise-laden book reviews including: Joseph Finder, "Bugging the World," New York Times, April 29, 2001; Malcolm Jones, "What to Read Now," Newsweek.com, September 29, 2001; Debbie Lincoln, "Book Review: Anatomy of the NSA," United Press International, September 12, 2001; Lee Milazzo, "Book Briefs," Dallas Morning News, July 29, 2001. ("Only a very brave person would attempt to investigate the investigators...")
- 31. "How Could This Happen?", ABCNews.com, September 15, 2001.
- 32. Eric Margolis, "Eradicating Hamas," Ericmargolis.com, January 12, 2009.
- James Bennet, "U.S.-Mideast Connection: The News Becomes Less Foreign," New York Times, August 4, 2002.
- Reuters, January 10, 2002; cited in Brit Hume, "Political Headlines," Fox Special Report with Brit Hume, Fox News, January 14, 2002.
- David R. Francis, "Economist Tallies Swelling Cost of Israel to US," Christian Science Monitor, December 9, 2002.
- 36. "U.S. Aid to Israel," HonestReporting.com, December 10, 2002.
- 37. "Map of Freedom 2008," Freedomhouse.org.
- 38. Robert Satloff, "Israel: Not Just a Strategic Asset, But a Strategic Bonanza," Washington Institute for Near East Policy, July 20, 2010.
- "Voting Practices in the United Nations," U.S. State Department, 2008; Michael Rubin, "Israel Votes with U.S. in UN More Than Any Other Country," The Enterprise Blog, June 3, 2009.
- 40. Theglobeandmail.com, February 12, 2004.
- 41. Roger Cohen, "Hard Mideast Truths," New York Times, February 11, 2010.
- 42. Bill Scanlan, *Washington Journal*, C-SPAN, January 4, 2010; cited in "Michael Scheuer: Terrorists Should Focus on Israel, Not the U.S.", *Adam Holland* blog, January 5, 2010. Scheuer also declared that "Obama is completely owned by the Israelis." (Michael Scheuer, "America First? Never for U.S. Media or Politicians?", Non-intervention.com, May 18, 2010.)
- 43. Helene Cooper, "Washington Asks: What to Do About Israel?", New York Times, June 4, 2010, citing Anthony H. Cordesman, "Israel as a Strategic Liability?", Center for Strategic and International Studies, June 2, 2010.
- MSNBC.com's headline on Scott Wilson, "Obama's Agenda, Israel's Ambitions Often at Odds," Washington Post, June 5, 2010.
- 45. Al-Akhbar (Egypt), August 23, 2001; cited in "Anti-American Statements in the Egyptian Media," Middle East Media Research Institute, September 17, 2001.

- 46. Al-Akhbar (Egypt), August 26, 2001; cited in Middle East Media Research Institute.
- 47. Al-Akhbar (Egypt), August 28, 2001; cited in Middle East Media Research Institute.
- 48. *Al-Riyadh* (Saudi Arabia), August 18, 2002; cited in "Saudi Reactions to the Lawsuit by September 11 Families," Middle East Media Research Institute, August 30, 2002.
- "Background Note: Saudi Arabia," U.S. Department of State, Bureau of Near Eastern Affairs, January 2009.
- 50. Terrorist Financing, Council on Foreign Relations, October 2002.
- 51. "Arafat Urges Palestinians to Remain Steadfast in Al-Nakbah Day Speech," Palestinian Satellite Channel TV, May 15, 2001; translated by BBC Worldwide Monitoring.
- 52. "Excerpts from Arafat's Al-Naqba Speech," Associated Press, May 15, 2001; cited in Jeff Helmreich, "Journalistic License: Professional Standards in the Print Media's Coverage of Israel," Jerusalem Center for Public Affairs, August 15, 2001.
- 53. "Attacks Celebrated in West Bank," The Times (UK), September 11, 2001.
- 54. Fafo Institute for Applied International Studies (Norway), December 22, 2005.
- Roee Nahmias, "Palestinians Favor bin Laden, Ahmadinejad over Hamas," Ynetnews (Israel), February 8, 2010.
- Al-Hayat Al-Jadida (Palestinian Authority), September 12, 2007; cited in Itamar Marcus and Barbara Crook, "Palestinian Authority Celebrates 9-11 Terror Attacks," Palestinian Media Watch, September 18, 2007.
- Palestinian Authority Television, January 1, 2007; cited in Itamar Marcus and Barbara Crook, "Palestinian Authority Celebrates 9-11 Terror Attacks," Palestinian Media Watch, September 18, 2007.
- Ben Lynfield, "Hamas Leader Turns His Focus on Bush, the 'Enemy of Islam," The Scotsman, March 29, 2004.
- 59. Photos at "Palestinians Abuse Donkey and Depict Obama as an Ape in Statehood Demonstrations," *Challah Hu Akbar* blog, September 25, 2011.
- Mohammed Daraghmeh, "Palestinians Accost US Delegation in West Bank," Associated Press, October 4, 2011.
- 61. Adli Sadeq, *Al-Hayat Al-Jadida* (Palestinian Authority), June 3, 2003; cited in "President Bush is the Head of the Snake," Middle East Media Research Institute, June 5, 2003. A few weeks later, the official PA daily referred to Condoleezza Rice as "the black spinster." (Hassan Al-Batal, *Al-Ayyam* [Palestinian Authority], June 22, 2003; cited in Itamar Marcus, "PA Daily: Condoleezza Rice is the 'Black Spinster,'" Palestinian Media Watch, June 23, 2003.)
- 62. "Bush Unveils Security Aid for Palestinian Ally," Agence France Presse, January 30, 2007.
- "The Seizure of the Saudi Arabian Embassy in Khartoum," U.S. Department of State, Intelligence Memorandum: Foreign Relations, 1969-1976, Volume E-6, Documents on Africa, 1973-1976.
- 64. Ali Waked, "Palestinian Groups Call on Muslims to Strike American Targets," *Ynetnews* (Israel), November 13, 2006.
- Hayyan al-Adrisi, December 10, 2004, posted on Alminbar.net; cited in "Recent Anti-American Sermons by Palestinian Authority Preachers," Middle East Media Research Institute, January 11, 2005.
- Molly Moore and John Ward Anderson, "Bomb Kills 3 Americans in Gaza Strip," Washington Post, October 16, 2003.
- 67. Khaled Abu Toameh, "PA Blames Israel for Gaza Bombing," Jerusalem Post, October 16, 2003.
- 68. "Mousa Abu Marzook, "Hamas' Stand," Los Angeles Times, July 10, 2007.
- "American Citizens Killed or Injured by Palestinian Terrorists," Republican Study Committee, U.S. House of Representatives, December 10, 2003.
- "Hamas Leader Khaled Meshaal," Charlie Rose, PBS, May 28, 2010; "Hamas Leader Says Group Has No Problem with US," Agence France Presse, May 29, 2010.

- 71. "Hamas Leader Seeks Arab-Muslim Pact vs. Israel-U.S.," Reuters, April 20, 2004; cited in "The Western Media Eats Up Khaled Meshal's Lies to Them," *Elder of Ziyon* blog, May 30, 2010.
- Howard M. Berlin, "Palestinians' Woes Started with Arafat," The News Journal (Delaware), May 31, 2006.
- 73. Aaron Klein, "Fatah Militant: U.S. Training Was Key to Intifada's Success," *The Sun* (New York), August 21, 2007.
- Peter Jennings, "ABC News Special Report: America Under Attack," ABC, September 11, 2001.
- 75. Osama bin Laden, "Declaration of War against the Americans Occupying the Land of the Two Holy Places," 1996. Bin Laden's 1998 fatwa spelled out three reasons to kill Americans, with support for Israel a distant third: "First, for over seven years the United States has been occupying the lands of Islam in the holiest of places, the Arabian Peninsula, plundering its riches, dictating to its rulers, humiliating its people, terrorizing its neighbors, and turning its bases in the Peninsula into a spearhead through which to fight the neighboring Muslim peoples." (Osama bin Laden, "Jihad against Jews and Crusaders," World Islamic Front, February 23, 1998.) See also Michael Dobbs, "Bin Laden: a 'Master Impresario,'" Washington Post, September 13, 2001.
- Charles Fenyvesi, "Washington Whispers," US News & World Report, December 30, 1991 / January 6, 1992.
- 77. Peter Jennings, "ABC News Special Report: America Under Attack," September 13, 2001.
- 78. Tom Shales, "The Broadcast Networks, Putting Telling Above Selling," Washington Post, September 17, 2001. (Jennings "hosted what looked like a little intercontinental tea party for alleged experts on the Middle East, one of whom was Hanan Ashrawi, whom Jennings hailed as 'widely known in the United States.' Also widely disliked. Jennings and Ashrawi greeted each other like old pals, with broad smiles and warm greetings... Jennings deferred to Ashrawi, as usual, and let her filibuster.")
- 79. Tony Karon, "Why Didn't We Know?", Time, September 14, 2001.
- 80. See Tim Rutten, "Israel's Lobby as Scapegoat," Los Angeles Times, September 12, 2007.
- 81. David Firestone, "For Some Jewish Leaders, Partnership with Muslims Is a Casualty of Sept. 11 Attacks," *New York Times*, October 22, 2001.
- 82. Rob Nordland, "Prejudice in Pakistan," Newsweek.com, September 14, 2001.
- 83. Michael Slackman, "Response to Terror; Lebanon; One Country's Terrorists Are Another's Liberators," Los Angeles Times, October 4, 2001.
- 84. James Cox, "Conspiracy Theories Say Israel Did It," USA Today, September 27, 2001. Allegations of an Israeli role in such terror attacks were, ironically, rejected by Abu Jandal, Osama bin Laden's former bodyguard: "The allegations that the Mossad was responsible are nonsense and are an attempt to cast doubt on the ability of the Muslims to do something of this sort." (Al-Quds Al-Arabi [London], August 3, 2004; translated in "Interview with Osama bin Laden's Former Bodyguard," Middle East Media Research Institute, August 20, 2004.)
- 85. Gemeaha also stated: "Muslims do not feel safe even going to the hospitals, because some Jewish doctors in one of the hospitals poisoned sick Muslim children, who then died.... You see these people (i.e. the Jews) all the time, everywhere, disseminating corruption, heresy, homosexuality, alcoholism, and drugs... They do this to impose their hegemony and colonialism on the world..." (Cited in Seth Lipsky, "A Fair Sheik?", Wall Street Journal, October 24, 2001.)
- 86. Laurie Goodstein, "New York Cleric's Departure from Mosque Leaves Mystery," New York Times, October 23, 2001.
- Brian McWilliams, "Instant Messages to Israel Warned of WTC Attack," Newsbytes, Washington Post, September 28, 2001.

- 88. Cited in Melissa Radler, "Israel Dismisses TV Report it Failed to Share Data on WTC Attack," *Jerusalem Post*, December 20, 2001. Fox later deleted the report from its website. Similarly, in the aftermath of the London Tube bombing in 2005, Associated Press claimed that minutes before the explosions, "the Israeli Embassy received warnings of possible terror attacks in the city," and that Israeli officials changed travel plans to avoid a location where one of the blasts occurred. (Amy Teibel, "Netanyahu Changed Plans Due to Warning," Associated Press, July 7, 2005.) Associated Press later retracted the story. See Tom Gross, "As Bombs Rock London, bin Laden's Brother Says He Will Pay for His Defense," Tomgrossmedia.com, July 7, 2005.
- Paul Joseph Watson, "Top Construction Firm: WTC Destroyed by Controlled Demolition," Buchanan.org, May 27, 2010; cited in "The Pat Buchanan-Alex Jones Convergence: Israel Destroyed the World Trade Center," *Little Green Footballs*, May 29, 2010.
- 90. Hearing of the House Appropriations Defense Subcommittee, U.S. Congress, March 2, 2010.
- 91. Caroline Glick, "The Plain Truth about Israel," Jerusalem Post, June 7, 2010.
- 92. James Taranto, "Best of the Web Today," Wall Street Journal Online, December 9, 2002.
- Nathan Gardels, "Israeli Settlements Threaten World Security," Tribune Media Services, March 18, 2010.
- 94. Eric Margolis, "Eradicating Hamas," Ericmargolis.com, January 12, 2009.
- 95. Anthony Shadid, "Joy as Tunisian President Flees, Offers Lesson to Arab Leaders," *New York Times*, January 14, 2011; cited in "My New York Times Prophecy," *Elder of Ziyon* blog, January 16, 2011.
- 96. See David Harris, "It's Not About Israel," *Huffington Post*, January 10, 2010. Since Israel's birth in 1948, there have been dozens of conflicts in the Middle East completely unrelated to the Arab-Israeli conflict: the Iraqi coup of 1958, the Lebanon crisis of 1958, the Yemeni civil war of 1962-68 (including subsequent civil wars in the 1980s and 1990s), the Iraqi Kurdish revolt of 1974, the Egyptian-Libyan Border War of 1977, the Persian Gulf War of 1990-91 (including Iraqi Kurdish and Iraqi Shiite revolts the same year), the Yemeni-Eritrean and Saudi-Yemeni border conflicts of the mid-1990s, and the U.S.-Iraq War, begun in 2003. (Dennis Ross and David Makovsky, *Myths, Illusions, and Peace*, Viking, 2009.)
- 97. "Blair Pays Tribute to Resilience," Today, BBC Radio 4, July 9, 2005.
- 98. Matt Moore, "Investigators Search for Clues, While Families Search for Loved Ones in London Bomb Blasts," Associated Press, July 9, 2005.
- After reader complaints, Associated Press issued a correction. See "Bad News From London," HonestReporting.com, July 11, 2005.
- 100. Nathan Gardels, "Jimmy Carter Takes on Israel's Apartheid Policies and the Pro-Israeli Lobby in the US," Los Angeles Times Syndicate / *Huffington Post*, December 12, 2006.
- 101. Daniel Brumberg, "Engagement and Peacemaking," Washington Post, April 27, 2010.
- 102. Martin Indyk, "When Your Best Friend Gets Angry," New York Times, April 19, 2010.
- Arshad Mohammed and Ross Colvin, "Saudi King Urged U.S. to Attack Iran: WikiLeaks," Reuters, November 28, 2010.
- David E. Sanger, James Glanz and Jo Becker, "Around the World, Distress Over Iran," New York Times, November 28, 2010.
- 105. Josh Block, Progressive Policy Institute, Politico.com, November 24, 2010.
- 106. Martin Kramer of Harvard University suggests that the concept of linkage stems from the mistaken belief that the Middle East is an interlocking system, like Europe, where events in one corner can set off a chain reaction e.g. resolving the conflict between Germany and France was a post-war condition for bringing peace to the entire continent. (Martin Kramer, "The Myth of Linkage," National Security Studies Program, Harvard University, June 12, 2008.)
- 107. Michael Backman, "Israelis are Living High on US Expense Account," The Age (Australia),

- January 17, 2009.
- 108. In 2008, the International Fellowship of Christians and Jews announced plans to donate \$45 million over three years. (Anshel Pfeffer, "U.S. Jews' Opposition Holds Up Jewish Agency Deal with Christian Group," *Haaretz* [Israel], February 28, 2008.) At his 2009 "Night to Honor Israel," Pastor John Hagee of San Antonio handed out checks for \$9 million. (Colin McDonald, "Cornerstone Honors Israel with \$9 Million Gift," *San Antonio Express-News*, October 26, 2009.)
- 109. Genesis 12:2.
- 110. See, for example: "He remembers His covenant forever, the word He commanded, for a thousand generations, the covenant He made with Abraham, the oath He swore to Isaac. He confirmed it to Jacob as a decree, to Israel as an everlasting covenant: 'To you I will give the land of Canaan as the portion you will inherit.'" (Psalms 105:8-11, 1-Chronicles 16:15-18) See also Genesis 13:15, 15:18, 17:8, 48:4; Exodus 6:4, 6:8, 12:25, 13:5, 13:11, 32:13, 33:1; Leviticus 14:34, 20:24; Numbers 11:12, 14:16, 14:23, 27:12, 32:7, 32:11, 33:53, 34:2; Deuteronomy 1:8, 1:21, 1:39, 2:29, 3:28, 4:1, 4:21, 4:37-38, 6:10, 6:18, 6:23, 7:13, 8:1, 9:5, 10:11, 11:9, 11:21, 11:31, 12:1, 12:10, 15:4, 17:14, 19:8, 19:10, 19:14, 25:19, 26:1, 26:15, 27:3, 28:11, 30:20, 31:7, 31:20, 34:4. See also the biblical books of Joshua, Judges, Kings, Isaiah, Jeremiah, Ezekiel, Psalms, Nehemiah and Chronicles.
- 111. "Israel to Split Christ's Birthplace with Barrier," Reuters, February 8, 2003.
- 112. The Christian population in Israel was 34,000 in 1949, 73,000 in 1972, and 153,000 in 2008. ("Statistical Abstract of Israel: Population by Religion," Israeli Central Bureau of Statistics, 2009.)
- 113. Ibid.
- 114. Turkey's Christian population dropped from 20 percent in the early 20th century to 0.2 percent today. (Daniel Greenfield, "The Vanishing Christians of the Middle East," *Sultan Knish* blog, October 13, 2010.)
- "International Religious Freedom Report 2010," U.S. Department of State, November 17, 2010.
- 116. Andrew Lee Butters, "Why the Pope Can't Help Middle Eastern Christians," *The Middle East Blog*, Time.com, May 8, 2009.
- Isabel Kershner, "Palestinian Christians Look Back on a Year of Troubles," New York Times, March 11, 2007.
- 118. Father Raymond J. De Souza, "Palestinian Christians Live in Constant Fear," *National Post* (Canada), February 19, 2008; "Militants Bomb Gaza YMCA Library," BBC News Online, February 15, 2008.
- 119. Khaled Abu Toameh, "Muslim Gunmen Target Christian in Gaza," Jerusalem Post, December 8, 2007. In the words of Reverend Tomey Dahoud, head of a West Bank church that was firebombed in 2006: "The Islamic people want to kill us. That's their principle and belief. They don't want Christians in this country... That's the reality." (Justus Reid Weiner, "Palestinian Crimes against Christian Arabs and Their Manipulation Against Israel," Jerusalem Center for Public Affairs, September 2008.) See also Edmund Sanders, "West Bank Oktoberfest Raises a Glass to Palestinian Culture," Los Angeles Times, October 5, 2010.
- 120. Lucy Williamson, "A Frightening Family Feud," BBC News Online, September 10, 2005; Harry de Quetteville, "'Islamic Mafia' Accused of Persecuting Holy Land Christians," *The Telegraph* (UK), September 9, 2005.
- 121. Khaled Abu Toameh, "Gunmen Destroy Bethlehem TV Studio, Suspending Broadcasts," Jerusalem Post, March 24, 2006.
- 122. Boston Globe, February 6, 2002.
- 123. "Vandals Strike in Two Christian Cemeteries in Palestine Desecrating 70 Graves," *Daily Mail* (UK), May 25, 2009.

- 124. "Murder in a Bookshop," Haaretz (Israel), November 5, 2007.
- 125. "Christian Activist Slain in Gaza," Washington Post, October 8, 2007.
- 126. "Murder in Gaza, One Paragraph in the Post," *Snapshots* blog, October 8, 2007. When Palestinian assailants threw a grenade into the playground of Gaza's YMCA, no mainstream media picked up the story aside from a single, buried mention in AP. (Ashraf Sweilam, "Libyan Ship with Aid for Gaza Reaches Egypt Port," Associated Press, July 14, 2010.)
- 127. "Christmas in Bethlehem: The Cross Banished From Souvenirs," *Asia News* (Italy), December 22, 2010.
- 128. Ethan Bronner, "Mideast's Christians Losing Numbers and Sway," *New York Times*, May 12, 2009. In 1914, Christians represented 26.4 percent of the population of the Near East (what today is known as Israel, the PA, Jordan, Lebanon and Syria); by 1998 they were estimated at no more than 9.2 percent. (Justus Reid Weiner, "Human Rights of Christians in Palestinian Society," Jerusalem Center for Public Affairs, 2005.)
- 129. Justus Reid Weiner, "Palestinian Crimes against Christian Arabs and Their Manipulation against Israel," Jerusalem Center for Public Affairs, September 2008. Since the establishment of the Palestinian Authority in 1993, not a single Christian has been appointed to a senior security post. (Khaled Abu Toameh, "The Beleaguered Christians in Bethlehem," Hudson New York, May 12, 2009.)
- Father Raymond J. De Souza, "The Islamification of Palestine," National Post (Canada), February 20, 2007.
- 131. Aaron Klein, "Media's Two-faced Christmas Coverage," *Ynetnews* (Israel), December 24, 2007. Christians in Bethlehem and nearby towns of Bet Sahour and Bet Jalla frequently complain that Muslims seize their lands either by force or through forged documents. (Abu Toameh, "The Beleaguered Christians in Bethlehem.")
- 132. Abu Toameh, "The Beleaguered Christians in Bethlehem."
- 133. Weiner, "Palestinian Crimes Against Christian Arabs and Their Manipulation Against Israel."
- 134. Weiner, "Palestinian Crimes Against Christian Arabs and Their Manipulation Against Israel"; Abu Toameh, "The Beleaguered Christians in Bethlehem."
- 135. Tom Perry, "In Holy Land, Christians a Community in Decline," Reuters, October 7, 2010; cited in "Christmas Comes Early This Year," Reuters Middle East Watch, October 9, 2010.
- 136. Scotland on Sunday, December 22, 2003.
- 137. Michael Jansen, "Israeli Settlements Squeezing Out Christians and Muslims," *Irish Times*, October 7, 2010; cited in Alex Margolin, "'Squeezing Out Christians and Muslims' Irish Times Blames Israel," HonestReporting.com, November 30, 2010.
- 138. Al-Hayat Al-Jadida (Palestinian Authority), April 30, 2008.
- Palestinian Authority Television, December 3, 2010; cited in Itamar Marcus and Nan Jacques Zilberdik, "Jesus Was A Palestinian – No One Denies That, Says PA TV," Palestinian Media Watch, December 24, 2010.
- 140. Emad Hajjaj, "Israel Cuts Gaza Electricity," Mahjoob.com, January 21, 2008.
- Palestinian Authority Television, July 21, 2000; cited in Itamar Marcus and Barbara Crook, "Jesus the Palestinian in Palestinian Authority Ideology," Palestinian Media Watch, July 6, 2006.
- 142. Al-Hayat Al-Jadida (Palestinian Authority), January 24, 2000; cited in "Jesus Misrepresented as 'Muslim Palestinian,'" Palestinian Media Watch.
- 143. *Al-Hayat Al-Jadida* (Palestinian Authority), January 17, 2005; cited in "Jesus Misrepresented as 'Muslim Palestinian,'" Palestinian Media Watch.
- 144. Cited in Amiram Barkat, "Jews in Sweden are Afraid to be Known as Jews," Haaretz (Israel), February 10, 2004.
- 145. La Stampa (Italy), April 2002.

- 146. Cited in Barbara Amiel, "How Can the BBC be Impartial Between Tyranny and Democracy?", Daily Telegraph (UK), March 26, 2003.
- Johann Hari, "What Would Happen if the Virgin Mary Came to Bethlehem Today?", The Independent (UK), December 23, 2006.
- 148. Tom Perry, "In Holy Land, Easter Not What it Was," Reuters, April 1, 2010.
- 149. "Easter Procession in Jerusalem," Glasgow Herald, April 9, 1955.
- 150. "Holy Fire Ceremony at Holy Sepulchre," *Palestine Post*, April 28, 1940 (citing a total of 1,500 pilgrims).
- 151. "Incredible Reuters Bias in Article on Easter in Jerusalem," Elder of Ziyon blog, April 2, 2010.
- 152. Matt Rees, "The Saga of the Siege," Time, May 20, 2002.
- 153. Lamis Andoni, "Christmas in a Strangled Bethlehem," PostGlobal, December 24, 2007.
- 154. Nightline, ABC News, June 12, 2003.
- 155. Michael Finkel, "Bethlehem 2007 A.D.", National Geographic, December 2007.
- Dion Nissenbaum and Cliff Churgin, "Reality in Bethlehem Mars Christmas Tradition," McClatchy Newspapers, December 22, 2007.
- 157. Klein, "Media's Two-faced Christmas Coverage."
- 158. "RNS Daily Digest," Religion News Service, June 30, 2008.
- Rachel Pomerance, "Methodists to Weigh Divestment as Tool to Shift Israel," Washington Post / Religion News Service, February 16, 2008.
- 160. Mark D. Tooley, "A Feast with the Beast; Ahmadinejad Dines with Church Officials in New York," October 2, 2008; Neela Banerjee, "Anglicans Vote to Divest from Concerns in Israel-Occupied Areas," New York Times, February 9, 2006. The idea also gained the support of the Archbishop of Canterbury, leader of 77 million Anglicans.
- Jane Lampman, "From Churches, a Challenge to Israeli Policies," Christian Science Monitor, December 6, 2004.
- 162. "Middle East Synod Participant Explains Factors Behind Its Controversial Conclusion," Catholic News Agency, October 31, 2010. Tellingly, a declaration adopted at the 2010 gathering of Catholic bishops in the Middle East criticized Israel in detail, but omitted most criticism against Islamic governments.
- 163. CNN Opinion Research Poll, May 31, 2011.
- Jeff Jacoby, "Why Are Americans So Pro-Israel?", MetroWest Jewish Reporter (Massachusetts), December 2009.
- 165. Michael Oren, "The Ultimate Ally," Foreign Policy, May/June 2011.
- 166. Israel's destruction of Syria's Soviet-made weaponry in 1982 was the first clear demonstration of the absolute superiority of U.S. military technology a decisive factor in convincing Ronald Reagan that it was possible to win the Cold War. In this regard, even Walt and Mearsheimer acknowledge Israel as a U.S. strategic asset: "By serving as America's proxy after the Six Day War, Israel helped contain Soviet expansion in the region and inflicted humiliating defeats on Soviet clients like Egypt and Syria. Israel occasionally helped protect other U.S. allies (like Jordan's King Hussein), and its military prowess forced Moscow to spend more backing its losing clients. Israel also gave the United States useful intelligence about Soviet capabilities." (John Mearsheimer and Stephen Walt, "The Israel Lobby," London Review of Books, March 23, 2006.)
- 167. Oren, "The Ultimate Ally."
- 168. "NASDAQ Appoints Asaf Homossany as New Director for Israel," NASDAQ, February 6, 2005. As of 2008, Israel had 3,850 start-up companies one for every 1,844 Israelis. (Dan Senor and Saul Singer, Start-Up Nation, Twelve, 2009.) Intel and Microsoft built their first overseas research and development centers in Israel, and Warren Buffett's first non-U.S. acquisition was the Israeli company Iscar in 2007.
- 169. Aboard the Mayflower, leader William Bradford compared the journey to "Moses and the

- Israelites when they went out of Egypt." Upon arriving in Cape Cod, the pilgrims thanked God for letting them pass through the fiery Red Sea. (Bruce Feiler, *America's Prophet: How the Story of Moses Shaped America*, Harper Perennial, 2010.)
- 170. Sermon aboard the *Arbella* en route from England to Massachusetts, 1630; cited in Yoram Ettinger, "Passover Guide for the Perplexed 2011," April 3, 2011. Yale University President Ezra Stiles articulated in 1783: "Moses, the man of God, assembled 3 million people the number of people in America in 1776."
- 171. The Yale seal depicts the Hebrew words "Urim V"Tumim," an item worn by the High Priest in the days of the Holy Temple. The seals of both Columbia University and Dartmouth feature the Hebrew name of God. So popular was the Hebrew language in the 18th century that several students at Yale delivered their commencement orations in Hebrew. (Rabbi Ken Spiro, Crash Course in Jewish History, Targum Press, 2010.)
- 172. Abraham I. Katsh, The Biblical Heritage of American Democracy, Ktav Publishing, 1977, p. 70.
- 173. Exodus 13:21. John D. MacArthur, "First Great Seal Committee 1776," GreatSeal.com.
- 174. Spiro, Crash Course in Jewish History.
- 175. Ettinger, "Passover Guide for the Perplexed 2011."
- 176. In 1655, New Haven legislators adopted a legal code of 79 statutes, half of which contained biblical references, virtually all from the Hebrew Bible. The Plymouth Colony had a similar code, as did the Massachusetts Assembly, whose 1641 "Capital Laws of New England" was based almost entirely on Mosaic law. (Spiro, Crash Course in Jewish History.)
- 177. Paul Johnson, A History of the Jews, Harper & Row, 1987, p. 585.
- 178. May 3, 1925.
- 179. Gabriel Sivan, The Bible and Civilization, Keter Publishing, 1973, p. 178.
- 180. Theodore Roosevelt and Woodrow Wilson likewise urged that a Zionist state be created. Cited in Oren, "The Ultimate Ally."
- 181. Jim Rutenberg, Mike McIntire and Ethan Bronner, "Tax-Exempt Funds Aid Settlements in West Bank," New York Times, July 5, 2010.
- 182. Ethan Bronner, "Israelis See Netanyahu Trip as Diplomatic Failure," New York Times, May 25, 2011
- 183. Yossi Verter, "Haaretz Poll: Netanyahu's Popularity Soaring Following Washington Trip," Haaretz (Israel), May 26, 2011. (Opinion polls showed that only 10 percent of the Israeli public viewed Netanyahu's U.S. trip as "a failure.")
- 184. Lilach Weissman, "Netanyahu Rides High," Globes (Israel), May 26, 2011.
- 185. Chris Mathews, Hardball, MSNBC, March 8, 2010.
- 186. Roger Cohen, "The Biden Effect," New York Times, March 15, 2010.
- 187. Yonit Farago and Stephen Farrell, "Muslims Seek a Haven in Israel After Their Exodus from Darfur," *The Times* (UK), June 6, 2006.
- 188. William Safire, *New York Times*, January 7, 1985; Editorial, "Anti-Zionist Racism," *National Post* (Canada), March 16, 2001.
- Peter Jennings, World News Tonight, ABC, November 1, 2002; cited in "Jennings Highlights Desecration of Statue of Liberty," Cyber Alert, Media Research Center, November 4, 2002.